

# An Algebraic Approach to Confluence and Completion

Cyrille Chenavier,  
Université Paris Diderot,  
INRIA, équipe  $\pi r^2$

September 9, 2016

# Our purpose

- ▶ We consider abstract rewriting systems  $(V, \longrightarrow)$  where  $V$  and  $V / \longleftarrow^* \longrightarrow$  are vector spaces.

# Our purpose

- ▶ We consider abstract rewriting systems  $(V, \longrightarrow)$  where  $V$  and  $V / \longleftarrow^* \longrightarrow$  are vector spaces.
- ▶ We want to:
  - ▷ formulate the confluence property using linear algebra,

# Our purpose

- ▶ We consider abstract rewriting systems  $(V, \longrightarrow)$  where  $V$  and  $V / \longleftarrow^* \longrightarrow$  are vector spaces.
- ▶ We want to:
  - ▷ formulate the confluence property using linear algebra,
  - ▷ deduce from this formulation the one of completion,

# Our purpose

- ▶ We consider abstract rewriting systems  $(V, \longrightarrow)$  where  $V$  and  $V / \longleftarrow^* \longrightarrow$  are vector spaces.
- ▶ We want to:
  - ▷ formulate the confluence property using linear algebra,
  - ▷ deduce from this formulation the one of completion,
  - ▷ interpret some algebraic constructions as completion algorithms.

# Our purpose

- ▶ We consider abstract rewriting systems  $(V, \longrightarrow)$  where  $V$  and  $V / \longleftarrow^* \longrightarrow$  are vector spaces.
- ▶ We want to:
  - ▷ formulate the confluence property using linear algebra,
  - ▷ deduce from this formulation the one of completion,
  - ▷ interpret some algebraic constructions as completion algorithms.
- ▶ For that, we consider functional methods.

# Our purpose

- ▶ We consider abstract rewriting systems  $(V, \longrightarrow)$  where  $V$  and  $V / \longleftarrow^* \longrightarrow$  are vector spaces.
- ▶ We want to:
  - ▷ formulate the confluence property using linear algebra,
  - ▷ deduce from this formulation the one of completion,
  - ▷ interpret some algebraic constructions as completion algorithms.
- ▶ For that, we consider functional methods. These methods use **reduction operators**.

# Reduction Operators

- ▶ Let  $(G, <)$  be a well-ordered set.

# Reduction Operators

- ▶ Let  $(G, <)$  be a well-ordered set.

**Definition.** An endomorphism  $T$  of  $\mathbb{K}G$  is a **reduction operator relative to  $(G, <)$**  if

- ▶  $T$  is a projector,
- ▶  $\forall g \in G$ , we have  $T(g) = g$  or  $T(g) = \sum \lambda_i g_i$  with  $g_i < g$ .

# Reduction Operators

- ▶ Let  $(G, <)$  be a well-ordered set.

**Definition.** An endomorphism  $T$  of  $\mathbb{K}G$  is a **reduction operator relative to  $(G, <)$**  if

- ▶  $T$  is a projector,
- ▶  $\forall g \in G$ , we have  $T(g) = g$  or  $T(g) = \sum \lambda_i g_i$  with  $g_i < g$ .
- ▶ A reduction operator  $T$  must be considered as a set of oriented relations  $g \rightarrow T(g)$ , where  $T(g) \neq g$ .

# Reduction Operators

- ▶ Let  $(G, <)$  be a well-ordered set.

**Definition.** An endomorphism  $T$  of  $\mathbb{K}G$  is a **reduction operator relative to  $(G, <)$**  if

- ▶  $T$  is a projector,
- ▶  $\forall g \in G$ , we have  $T(g) = g$  or  $T(g) = \sum \lambda_i g_i$  with  $g_i < g$ .
- ▶ A reduction operator  $T$  must be considered as a set of oriented relations  $g \rightarrow T(g)$ , where  $T(g) \neq g$ .

**Notations.**

- ▶  $\mathbf{RO}(G, <) := \{\text{reduction operators relative to } (G, <)\}$ ,

# Reduction Operators

- ▶ Let  $(G, <)$  be a well-ordered set.

**Definition.** An endomorphism  $T$  of  $\mathbb{K}G$  is a **reduction operator relative to  $(G, <)$**  if

- ▶  $T$  is a projector,
- ▶  $\forall g \in G$ , we have  $T(g) = g$  or  $T(g) = \sum \lambda_i g_i$  with  $g_i < g$ .
- ▶ A reduction operator  $T$  must be considered as a set of oriented relations  $g \rightarrow T(g)$ , where  $T(g) \neq g$ .

**Notations.**

- ▶  $\mathbf{RO}(G, <) := \{\text{reduction operators relative to } (G, <)\}$ ,
- ▶  $\forall T \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{Red}(T) := \{g \in G, T(g) = g\}$ ,
  - ▶  $\text{Nred}(T) := G \setminus \text{Red}(T)$ .

# Lattice Structure

**Theorem [C 2016].** *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \ker: \mathbf{RO}(G, <) &\longrightarrow \{\text{subspaces of } \mathbb{K}G\}, \\ T &\longmapsto \ker(T) \end{aligned}$$

*is a bijection.*

# Lattice Structure

**Theorem [C 2016].** *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \ker: \mathbf{RO}(G, <) &\longrightarrow \{\text{subspaces of } \mathbb{K}G\}, \\ T &\longmapsto \ker(T) \end{aligned}$$

*is a bijection.*

**Notation.**  $\theta : \{\text{subspaces of } \mathbb{K}G\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  the inverse of  $\ker$ .

# Lattice Structure

**Theorem [C 2016].** *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \ker: \mathbf{RO}(G, <) &\longrightarrow \{\text{subspaces of } \mathbb{K}G\}, \\ T &\longmapsto \ker(T) \end{aligned}$$

*is a bijection.*

**Notation.**  $\theta: \{\text{subspaces of } \mathbb{K}G\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  the inverse of  $\ker$ .

- ▶  $(\mathbf{RO}(G, <), \preceq, \wedge, \vee)$  is a lattice where
  - ▶  $T_1 \preceq T_2$  if  $\ker(T_2) \subset \ker(T_1)$ ,
  - ▶  $T_1 \wedge T_2 := \theta(\ker(T_1) + \ker(T_2))$ ,
  - ▶  $T_1 \vee T_2 := \theta(\ker(T_1) \cap \ker(T_2))$ .

# Confluence

**Lemma.** *Let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ . We have:*

$$T_1 \preceq T_2 \implies \text{Red}(T_1) \subset \text{Red}(T_2).$$

# Confluence

**Lemma.** *Let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ . We have:*

$$T_1 \preceq T_2 \implies \text{Red}(T_1) \subset \text{Red}(T_2).$$

► Let  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

# Confluence

**Lemma.** Let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ . We have:

$$T_1 \preceq T_2 \implies \text{Red}(T_1) \subset \text{Red}(T_2).$$

► Let  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

$$\text{Red}(F) := \bigcap_{T \in F} \text{Red}(T) \text{ and } \wedge F := \theta \left( \sum_{T \in F} \ker(T) \right).$$

# Confluence

**Lemma.** Let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ . We have:

$$T_1 \preceq T_2 \implies \text{Red}(T_1) \subset \text{Red}(T_2).$$

► Let  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

$$\text{Red}(F) := \bigcap_{T \in F} \text{Red}(T) \text{ and } \wedge F := \theta \left( \sum_{T \in F} \ker(T) \right).$$

► We have  $\text{Red}(\wedge F) \subset \text{Red}(F)$ ,

# Confluence

**Lemma.** Let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ . We have:

$$T_1 \preceq T_2 \implies \text{Red}(T_1) \subset \text{Red}(T_2).$$

► Let  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

$$\text{Red}(F) := \bigcap_{T \in F} \text{Red}(T) \text{ and } \wedge F := \theta \left( \sum_{T \in F} \ker(T) \right).$$

► We have  $\text{Red}(\wedge F) \subset \text{Red}(F)$ ,  $\text{Obs}^F := \text{Red}(F) \setminus \text{Red}(\wedge F)$ .

# Confluence

**Lemma.** Let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ . We have:

$$T_1 \preceq T_2 \implies \text{Red}(T_1) \subset \text{Red}(T_2).$$

► Let  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

$$\text{Red}(F) := \bigcap_{T \in F} \text{Red}(T) \text{ and } \wedge F := \theta \left( \sum_{T \in F} \ker(T) \right).$$

► We have  $\text{Red}(\wedge F) \subset \text{Red}(F)$ ,  $\text{Obs}^F := \text{Red}(F) \setminus \text{Red}(\wedge F)$ .

**Definition.**  $F$  is said to be **confluent** if  $\text{Obs}^F$  is the empty set.

# The Abstract Rewriting System Associated with $F$

- ▶ Let  $\left(\mathbb{K}G, \xrightarrow{F}\right)$  defined by  $v \xrightarrow{F} T(v)$  for  $T \in F$  such that  $v \notin \mathbb{K}\text{Red}(T)$ .

# The Abstract Rewriting System Associated with $F$

- ▶ Let  $(\mathbb{K}G, \xrightarrow{F})$  defined by  $v \xrightarrow{F} T(v)$  for  $T \in F$  such that  $v \notin \mathbb{K}\text{Red}(T)$ .
- ▶ For every  $v \in \mathbb{K}G$ , let  $[v]$  be the equivalence class of  $v$  for  $\xrightarrow{F}^*$ .

# The Abstract Rewriting System Associated with $F$

- ▶ Let  $\left(\mathbb{K}G, \xrightarrow{F}\right)$  defined by  $v \xrightarrow{F} T(v)$  for  $T \in F$  such that  $v \notin \mathbb{K}\text{Red}(T)$ .
- ▶ For every  $v \in \mathbb{K}G$ , let  $[v]$  be the equivalence class of  $v$  for  $\xrightarrow{F}^*$ .
- ▶ **Fact:**  $\wedge F(v)$  is the smallest element of  $[v]$ .

# The Abstract Rewriting System Associated with $F$

- ▶ Let  $(\mathbb{K}G, \xrightarrow{F})$  defined by  $v \xrightarrow{F} T(v)$  for  $T \in F$  such that  $v \notin \mathbb{K}\text{Red}(T)$ .
- ▶ For every  $v \in \mathbb{K}G$ , let  $[v]$  be the equivalence class of  $v$  for  $\xrightarrow{F}^*$ .
- ▶ **Fact:**  $\wedge F(v)$  is the smallest element of  $[v]$ .
  - ▶  $\mathbb{K}\text{Obs}^F = \mathbb{K}(\text{Red}(F) \setminus \text{Red}(\wedge F))$  is the set of normal forms which are not minimal in their equivalence classes.

# The Abstract Rewriting System Associated with $F$

- ▶ Let  $(\mathbb{K}G, \xrightarrow{F})$  defined by  $v \xrightarrow{F} T(v)$  for  $T \in F$  such that  $v \notin \mathbb{K}\text{Red}(T)$ .
- ▶ For every  $v \in \mathbb{K}G$ , let  $[v]$  be the equivalence class of  $v$  for  $\xrightarrow{F}^*$ .
- ▶ **Fact:**  $\wedge F(v)$  is the smallest element of  $[v]$ .
  - ▶  $\mathbb{K}\text{Obs}^F = \mathbb{K}(\text{Red}(F) \setminus \text{Red}(\wedge F))$  is the set of normal forms which are not minimal in their equivalence classes.

**Proposition.**  $F$  is confluent if and only if it is so for  $\xrightarrow{F}$ .

# Completion

- ▶  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

# Completion

- ▶  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

## Definitions.

- ▶ A **completion** of  $F$  is a set  $F' \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  such that
  - ▶  $F \subset F'$  and  $F'$  is confluent,
  - ▶  $\wedge F' = \wedge F$ .

# Completion

- ▶  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

## Definitions.

- ▶ A **completion** of  $F$  is a set  $F' \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  such that
  - ▶  $F \subset F'$  and  $F'$  is confluent,
  - ▶  $\wedge F' = \wedge F$ .
- ▶ A **complement** of  $F$  is  $C \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  such that
  - ▶  $\text{Obs}^F \subset \text{Nred}(C)$ ,
  - ▶  $(\wedge F) \wedge C = \wedge F$ .

# Completion

- ▶  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

## Definitions.

- ▶ A **completion** of  $F$  is a set  $F' \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  such that
  - ▶  $F \subset F'$  and  $F'$  is confluent,
  - ▶  $\wedge F' = \wedge F$ .
- ▶ A **complement** of  $F$  is  $C \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  such that
  - ▶  $\text{Obs}^F \subset \text{Nred}(C)$ ,
  - ▶  $(\wedge F) \wedge C = \wedge F$ .
- ▶ A complement  $C$  is said to be **minimal** if  $\text{Obs}^F$  is equal to  $\text{Nred}(C)$ .

# Completion

- ▶  $F \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$ .

## Definitions.

- ▶ A **completion** of  $F$  is a set  $F' \subset \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  such that
  - ▶  $F \subset F'$  and  $F'$  is confluent,
  - ▶  $\wedge F' = \wedge F$ .
- ▶ A **complement** of  $F$  is  $C \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  such that
  - ▶  $\text{Obs}^F \subset \text{Nred}(C)$ ,
  - ▶  $(\wedge F) \wedge C = \wedge F$ .
- ▶ A complement  $C$  is said to be **minimal** if  $\text{Obs}^F$  is equal to  $\text{Nred}(C)$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $C \in \mathbf{RO}(G, <)$  such that  $(\wedge F) \wedge C = \wedge F$ . Then,*

*$C$  is a complement of  $F \iff F \cup \{C\}$  is a completion of  $F$ .*

# The $F$ -Complement

- ▶ We denote by

$$\sqrt{F} := \theta(\mathbb{K}\text{Red}(F)).$$

# The $F$ -Complement

- ▶ We denote by

$$\vee \bar{F} := \theta(\mathbb{K}\text{Red}(F)).$$

**Definition.** The operator

$$C^F := (\wedge F) \vee (\vee \bar{F}),$$

is the  $F$ -complement.

# The $F$ -Complement

- ▶ We denote by

$$\vee \bar{F} := \theta(\mathbb{K}\text{Red}(F)).$$

**Definition.** The operator

$$C^F := (\wedge F) \vee (\vee \bar{F}),$$

is the  $F$ -complement.

**Theorem [C 2016].** *The  $F$ -complement is a minimal complement of  $F$ .*

# Applications

- ▶ Let  $X$  be an alphabet,  $G = X^*$  be the set of words and  $<$  be a monomial order.

# Applications

- ▶ Let  $X$  be an alphabet,  $G = X^*$  be the set of words and  $<$  be a monomial order.
- ▶ Reduction operators relative to  $(X^*, <)$  enable us to characterise Gröbner bases.

# Applications

- ▶ Let  $X$  be an alphabet,  $G = X^*$  be the set of words and  $<$  be a monomial order.
- ▶ Reduction operators relative to  $(X^*, <)$  enable us to characterise Gröbner bases.
  - ▷ Applications in homological algebra: proof of *Koszulness* [Berger 1998, 2001] and construction of a *contracting homotopy* for the *Koszul complex* [Berger 1998, C 2015].

# Applications

- ▶ Let  $X$  be an alphabet,  $G = X^*$  be the set of words and  $<$  be a monomial order.
- ▶ Reduction operators relative to  $(X^*, <)$  enable us to characterise Gröbner bases.
  - ▶ Applications in homological algebra: proof of *Koszulness* [Berger 1998, 2001] and construction of a *contracting homotopy* for the *Koszul complex* [Berger 1998, C 2015].
  - ▶ The  $F$ -complement provides an algorithm to construct Gröbner bases.

# Applications

- ▶ Let  $X$  be an alphabet,  $G = X^*$  be the set of words and  $<$  be a monomial order.
- ▶ Reduction operators relative to  $(X^*, <)$  enable us to characterise Gröbner bases.
  - ▶ Applications in homological algebra: proof of *Koszulness* [Berger 1998, 2001] and construction of a *contracting homotopy* for the *Koszul complex* [Berger 1998, C 2015].
  - ▶ The  $F$ -complement provides an algorithm to construct Gröbner bases.
- ▶ Thank you for listening.