

Expressions and Formulae

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Expressions} & e, e', \dots \quad ::= a \mid 0 \mid s(e) \mid e + e' \mid e \times e' \mid e \leq e' \\ \textbf{Formulae} & A, B, \dots \quad ::= \text{isnull}(e) \mid A \Rightarrow B \mid \forall a^{\mathbb{N}} A \end{array}$$

Representation of integers as expressions: let $\bar{0} := 0$ and, for all integer n , let $\overline{n+1} := s(\bar{n})$.
Let $\neg A := A \Rightarrow \text{isnull}(\bar{1})$.

Proof-terms

Syntax and reductions:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Continuations} & E, \dots \quad ::= \alpha \mid t::E \\ \textbf{Terms} & t, u, \dots \quad ::= x \mid \lambda x.t \mid \mu\alpha.c \\ \textbf{Commands} & c, \dots \quad ::= \langle t \bullet E \rangle \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ll} \langle \mu\alpha.c \bullet E \rangle & \longrightarrow \{ \frac{E}{\alpha} \}_c \\ \langle \lambda x.t \bullet u::E \rangle & \longrightarrow \{ \frac{\psi_x}{t} \}_t \bullet E \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{E} denote the set of continuations, and \mathcal{T} denote the set of terms.

Church's numerals as terms:

$$\begin{array}{ll} c_0 & ::= \langle x \bullet \alpha \rangle \\ c_{n+1} & ::= \langle f \bullet (\mu\alpha.c_n)::\alpha \rangle \\ \underline{n} & ::= \lambda x.\lambda f.\mu\alpha.c_n \end{array}$$

We admit that there are terms **s** and **rec** such that, for all t, u_0, u_1, E , and all integer n ,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle \mathbf{s} \bullet \underline{n}::t::E \rangle & \longrightarrow^* \langle t \bullet \underline{n+1}::E \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{rec} \bullet u_0::u_1::\underline{0}::E \rangle & \longrightarrow^* \langle u_0 \bullet E \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{rec} \bullet u_0::u_1::\underline{n+1}::E \rangle & \longrightarrow^* \langle u_1 \bullet \underline{n}::(\mu\alpha.\langle \mathbf{rec} \bullet u_0::u_1::\underline{n}::\alpha \rangle)::E \rangle \end{array}$$

Realizability semantics

Let \perp be an arbitrary set of commands, stable under anti-reduction (if $c \longrightarrow c'$ and $c' \in \perp$ then $c \in \perp$).

If \mathcal{U} is a set of continuations, $\mathcal{U}^\perp := \{t \in \mathcal{T} \mid \forall E \in \mathcal{U}, \langle t \bullet E \rangle \in \perp\}$

If \mathcal{U} is a set of terms, $\mathcal{U}^\perp := \{E \in \mathcal{E} \mid \forall t \in \mathcal{U}, \langle t \bullet E \rangle \in \perp\}$

The semantics below interprets expressions as integers and formulae as sets of continuations and sets of terms.

A valuation σ is a mapping from expression variables (a , etc) to integers.

$\begin{array}{ll} \llbracket a \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= \sigma(a) \\ \llbracket 0 \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= 0 \\ \llbracket s(e) \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma + 1 \\ \llbracket e_1 + e_2 \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_\sigma + \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_\sigma \\ \llbracket e_1 \times e_2 \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_\sigma \times \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_\sigma \\ \llbracket e_1 \leq e_2 \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= 1 \quad \text{if } \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_\sigma \leq \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_\sigma \\ \llbracket e_1 \leq e_2 \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= 0 \quad \text{if } \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_\sigma > \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_\sigma \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ll} \llbracket \text{isnull}(e) \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= \mathcal{E} \quad \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma \neq 0 \\ \llbracket \text{isnull}(e) \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= \emptyset \quad \text{if } \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma = 0 \\ \llbracket A \Rightarrow B \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= \llbracket A \rrbracket_\sigma :: \llbracket B \rrbracket_\sigma \\ \llbracket \forall a^{\mathbb{N}} A \rrbracket_\sigma & ::= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\{ \underline{n} \} :: \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\sigma, a \mapsto n} \right) \end{array}$
$\llbracket A \rrbracket_\sigma ::= \llbracket A \rrbracket_\sigma^\perp$	

where $\mathcal{U}::\mathcal{V} := \{u::E \mid u \in \mathcal{U}, E \in \mathcal{V}\}$

Exercise 1 : Properties of the system

1. Give a term **ifz** such that for all integers n and all u_0 and u_1 and E we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{ifz} \bullet 0 :: u_0 :: u_1 :: E \rangle &\longrightarrow^* \langle u_0 \bullet E \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{ifz} \bullet \underline{n+1} :: u_0 :: u_1 :: E \rangle &\longrightarrow^* \langle u_1 \bullet E \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(you may use **rec**)

2. Show that for all integers n , $\llbracket \bar{n} \rrbracket_\sigma = n$ and that for all expressions e' , $\llbracket \{\bar{y}_a\} e' \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket e' \rrbracket_{\sigma, a \mapsto n}$.
3. Show that for all formulae A , we have $\llbracket \{\bar{y}_a\} A \rrbracket_\sigma = [A]_{\sigma, a \mapsto n}$ and $\llbracket \{\bar{y}_a\} A \rrbracket_\sigma = \llbracket A \rrbracket_{\sigma, a \mapsto n}$.

Exercise 2 : Realizability in arithmetics

In this exercise, we show how to extract a witness from a classical proof of a Σ_1^0 -formula, i.e. a closed formula of the form $\exists a A(a)$ where $A(a)$ is a quantifier-free formula of arithmetics.

We work in a particular setting where such a formula is expressed in the shape of $\neg \forall a^{\mathbb{N}} \neg \text{isnull}(e(a))$ (c.f. our syntax for formulae on the other page). We admit that this shape brings no loss of generality. Moreover, such an expression $e(a)$, with one free variable a , expresses a primitive recursive function from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} .

In this exercise you will not need the typing system for proof-terms, but only what is provided by the Adequacy Lemma:

a proof t_0 of a formula $\neg \forall a^{\mathbb{N}} \neg \text{isnull}(e(a))$ is such that, for all possible \perp , $t_0 \in \llbracket \neg \forall a^{\mathbb{N}} \neg \text{isnull}(e(a)) \rrbracket$.
We thus start with such a positive term t_0 .

1. Show that if $t \in \llbracket \text{isnull}(\bar{n}) \rrbracket_\sigma$ with $n \neq 0$, then for all continuations E we have $\langle t \bullet E \rangle \in \perp$.
2. Let f be the primitive recursive function defined by: for any integer n , $f(n) := \llbracket e(a) \rrbracket_{a \mapsto n}$.
Let \underline{f} be an term representing f in the sense that,
for any integer n , and term t and any continuation E , $\langle \underline{f} \bullet \underline{n} :: t :: E \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle t \bullet f(n) :: E \rangle$

Let $d_f := \lambda nxy. \mu \alpha. \langle \underline{f} \bullet n :: (\lambda p. \mu \alpha_1. \langle \mathbf{ifz} \bullet p :: x :: y :: \alpha_1 \rangle) :: \alpha \rangle$

Show that for any integer n , any u_0 and u_1 and E , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d_f \bullet \underline{n} :: u_0 :: u_1 :: E \rangle &\longrightarrow^* \langle u_0 \bullet E \rangle \text{ if } f(n) = 0 \\ \langle d_f \bullet \underline{n} :: u_0 :: u_1 :: E \rangle &\longrightarrow^* \langle u_1 \bullet E \rangle \text{ if } f(n) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

3. Let **stop** be an arbitrary term and **go** be an arbitrary continuation.
We now take a particular orthogonality set defined by

$$\perp := \{c \mid \text{there exists } n \text{ such that } f(n) = 0 \text{ and } c \longrightarrow^* \langle \mathbf{stop} \bullet \underline{n} :: \mathbf{go} \rangle\}$$

Let $t_1 := \lambda nx. \mu \alpha. \langle d_f \bullet n :: (\mu \alpha_0. \langle \mathbf{stop} \bullet n :: \mathbf{go} \rangle) :: x :: \alpha \rangle$.

Show that, for all integer n and all $E \in \llbracket \neg \text{isnull}(e(\bar{n})) \rrbracket$, we have $t_1 \perp \underline{n} :: E$
(distinguish the cases where $f(n) = 0$ and $f(n) \neq 0$).

4. Show that $t_1 \in \llbracket \forall a^{\mathbb{N}} \neg \text{isnull}(e(a)) \rrbracket$
5. Show that $t_1 :: \mathbf{go} \in \llbracket \neg \forall a^{\mathbb{N}} \neg \text{isnull}(e(a)) \rrbracket$
6. Show that $\langle t_0 \bullet t_1 :: \mathbf{go} \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \mathbf{stop} \bullet \underline{n} :: \mathbf{go} \rangle$ for some integer n such that $f(n) = 0$.