

Holistic Approaches to Trustworthiness, Security, & Privacy

Peter G. Neumann
Principal Scientist
SRI International ComputerSciLab
Menlo Park, CA 94025-3493
Neumann@CSL.sri.com
<http://www.csl.sri.com/neumann>
Tel 1-650-859-2375
Cybersecurity Summit 2008 for
NSF Large Research Facilities
7 May 2008

Holistic Approaches

- Holistic approaches consider systems and enterprises in their entirety, in the context of their environments, lifetimes, and total ranges of actual and anticipated uses.

Trustworthiness is Holistic 1

- Trustworthiness involves many end-to-end emergent properties, some of which may be critical, such as security, reliability, system survivability, human safety. Also relevant are evolvability, usability, interoperability, ...
- Some emergent properties are undesirable and must be avoided such as flaws/incompatibilities/covert channels ... that result from system compositions.

Trustworthiness Is Holistic 2

- Trustworthiness is pervasive. Systems need to satisfy all critical requirements, not just security.
- Trustworthiness is highly multidimensional. It is not a local property, especially for applications. Total-system analysis is needed.
- Trustworthiness is a weak-link phenomenon. Today everything is a potential weak link.

Trustworthiness Is Holistic 3

- Security, reliability, and other critical requirements interact, and can be incompatible.
- Effects of flaws and bugs can propagate extensively (e.g., power and network outages, malware).
- Application security is easily undermined by poor OS security.
- Outages must be anticipated.

Systems Demand Holistic Analyses

- Energy: long-term future-oriented/
short-term optimization
- Agriculture: natural/industrial
- Health care: prevention/“cure”
- Systems: principled/unprincipled

Holistic analyses are relevant for each, with economic, political, international, social, and other implications.

Interdisciplinary Understanding?

- What might we learn from these other disciplines with respect to trustworthiness (remembering that reasoning by analogy can be misleading)?
- See PGN, *Holistic Systems*, *ACM SIGSOFT Software Engineering Notes*, November 2006, pages 4-5.
<http://www.csl.sri.com/neumann/holistic.pdf>

Energy

- Renewable resources (solar, wind, biomass, hybrids) may be made viable if considered holistically.
- Fossil fuels are short-sighted, nonenvironmental, nonrenewable, contribute to global warming.
- Nuclear safety regulations may be strong, but enforcement is weak; waste disposal/recycling problems.

Agriculture

- Sustainable agriculture uses natural fertilizers/pest-controls, crop rotations. It is healthier for workers and consumers.
- Industrial agriculture causes soil depletion, toxic runoffs, worker and consumer health problems, and diminishes diversity.
- Animal agriculture generates more greenhouse gases than vehicles.

Health Care

- Preventive/alternative/traditional methods (orthomolecular, herbal, Oriental, Ayurvedic, exercise, diet, ...) can treat the whole person, and are environmentally aware.
- Conventional medicine seeks quick fixes that suppress symptoms rather than eliminating causes. It may be iatrogenic (exacerbating the disease, triggering bacterial mutations, and so on).

System/Network Development

- Holistic approaches, including principled system development, have many long-term benefits.
- Bad practices include inadequate requirements and architectures, nondecomposable systems, poor software engineering, sloppy software, unsafe languages, iatrogenic patches, ... These create many nasty problems.

Avoiding System Risks

- Ted Glaser: “A modular system is one that falls apart easily.”
- Modularity is not enough; we need encapsulation, compatibility, interoperability, noninterference, some sound formal bases, ..., to build constructively trustworthy systems with predictable composability and interoperability.

Holistic Analysis Is Needed

- Principled development of trustworthy systems must be demonstrably cost-effective before it can become pervasive. How can this be accomplished?
- Consider the roles of principles, available source code, and formal methods, ..., all of which are increasingly applicable.

Principled Systems

- 1965 Glaser-PGN, Basic principles underlying Multics development
- 1969 PGN, Role of Motherhood
- 1975 Saltzer-Schroeder, Protection of Information in Computer Sys.
- 2004 PGN, Principles for predictable composability
- 2008 PJDenning, Great Principles

Saltzer-Schroeder Principles (1975)

- Economy of mechanism
- Fail-safe defaults
- Complete mediation
- Open design
- Separation of privilege
- Least privilege
- Least common mechanism
- Psychological acceptability
- Work factor
- Recording of compromises

Principled System Development

- Holistic approaches to complexity: sound requirements, principles, structured architectures; good software engineering practice; design for trustworthiness, interoperability, evolvability, usability, administrability, ...; pervasive assurance analysis, formal methods, and lots more.

Complexity

- Simplicity is highly praised, but highly trustworthy systems are inherently complex.
- Oversimplifying creates problems.
“Everything should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler.”
Albert Einstein
- Sound structures, composability, principles, discipline all can help.

Principled System Design

- Management of complexity through constructive architectures that modularly localize what must be trustworthy, such as separation kernels, virtualization, alternative approaches to multiple security levels, and so on.

Principled System Implementation

- Predictably composable designs
- Sound software engineering
- Sound programming languages
- Property-preserving refinements
- Proactive code analysis
- End-to-end self-checking

Principled System Assurance

- Pervasive assurance throughout development/use cycles.
- Assured composability, with hierarchical closure, e.g., the Computational Logic stack, PSOS/Robinson-Levitt, Rushby-DeLong (new work).
- Assured multilevel security?

Deja Vu All Over Again, Yogi Berra

- Unfortunately, the same types of mistakes (design flaws, software bugs, operational errors) recur.
- There is much to be learned from many past mistakes. Education/training are crucial.
- Various examples follow.

System Development Difficulties

- FAA Air traffic control redux
- IRS system modernization efforts
- FBI Virtual Case File
- Employment Eligibility (EEVS)
- Secure Global Information Grid
- 2010 Census handheld terminals
- CAL Deadbeat Dads Registry
- Customs entry database system
- Election systems (Fed and state)

Backup and Recovery Risks 1: Air-Traffic Control Failures

- LA Palmdale ATC Jul 2006 power
- Reagan National Apr 2000 power
- LI NY ATC SW upgrade Jun 1998
- LA ElToro ATC 104 failures/day
1989 (no previous system saved)
- 3 NY airports 1991 (on batteries)

Backup and Recovery Risks 2

More total system/backup failures:

- Swedish central train res system
- Washington Metro Blue Line 1997
- SF BART SW upgrades Apr 2006
- Japanese stock exchange Nov 2005

Cases of losses with no backup:

- NY Public library references
- Dutch criminal mgmt system

Propagation Risks 1: Widespread Network Outages

- 1980 ARPANET collapse: router memory errors, weak garbage collection of old status messages, memory overflow in every node.
- 1990 AT&T longlines collapse: untested change in recovery code, repeated crashing for half a day.

Propagation Risks 2: Widespread power outages

- Northeast US, Nov 1965
- Lower NY State, Jul 1977, >26 hrs
- Ten Western states, Oct 1984
- Western US, Jul 1996, heat/tree
- Western US/Canada/Baja, Aug 1996
- Northeast, Aug 2003, >2 days

Propagation Risks 3: Power outages in 2006

- Queens, NY, week-long, wiring
- Portland, Oregon, October
- Ems River, Germany, November.
preventive shutdown failed to
consider iterative implications
(N-1), affecting 10 million in 6
countries from Austria to Spain.

Software Flaws 1

- Buffer/stack overflows, missing bounds checks, type mismatches, and other flaws are ubiquitous and keep recurring. This seems rather ridiculous.

Software Flaws 2

- Multics prevented stack overflows.
- Progr. languages are a mixed bag.
- Analysis tools: StackGuard (Cowan), buffer overflow analyzer (Wagner), lint family, Coverity (Engler), Fortify (Chess), MOPS (Chen); Microsoft: Spec#/Boogie, PREfast/PREfix, RaceTrack, ...

Some Privacy Problems

- Surveillance
- Accidental release/interception
- Misuse of personal information
- Identifiers and authentication
- Identity fraud
- Mistaken identities
- Spamming, phishing, ...
- Voter privacy, coercion, vote selling, ...

Some Systems with Privacy Issues

- Surveillance: FISA, Carnivore, DCSNet, ADVISE, PATRIOT Act, Protect America Act
- REAL-ID (slippery slope)
- US-VISIT (DHS)
- CAPPS II, No-Fly List (TSA)
- RFID chips (slippery slope)
- US Passports
- EEVS & E-Verify (DHS/SSA)
- FBI/UK DNA databases
- Electronic voting systems

Paradigmatic Example: Voting 1

- Elections should have end-to-end integrity/reliability/accountability, nonsubvertible audit trails, uncompromised voter privacy, etc., throughout the entire process.
- Conceptually simple? Not really. Existing standards, systems, evaluations are seriously flawed.

Voting 2: Weak Links

- Unfortunately, the entire process is vulnerable: voter registration, authentication, authorization; voting, counting, certifying, recounting, resolving disputes; depoliticizing the process, etc.
- Every step is a potential weak link; we have weakness in depth.

Voting 3: All-Electronic Systems

- Today's all-electronic paperless systems are unauditable, lacking integrity and auditability under undetectable errors and fraud, proprietary code/data/evaluations, unable to resolve discrepancies, with many nontechnological problems.
- HAVA, EAC, voluntary standards, evaluations are still simplistic.

Voting 4: Theory vs Practice

- Huge differences exist between research and practice/standards/evaluation/certification/...
- Many problems are nontechnical (absentee ballots, vote selling, voter coercion, politics, ...).
- Big opportunities for crypto and low-tech solutions.
- California Secretary of State's Top-to-Bottom review, 2007!!!

Lessons (To Be) Learned 1

- We need trustworthy systems, with dramatic improvements in system development practices.
- We need proactive attention to critical infrastructures.
- 20-200 foresight is much better than 20-20 hindsight.
- Priorities must be realistic.
- Progress in trustworthiness has been extremely sporadic.

Lessons (To Be) Learned 2

- Reliance on misapplied technology usually increases risks.
- Privacy is often not appreciated until it is lost, and then may be impossible to recover.
- Privacy is difficult to ensure. Worse yet, it is often sacrificed in misguided hopes for security.
- Eternal vigilance is required, with proactive maintenance.

Lessons (To Be) Learned 3

We need

- Better system architectures
- Better system engineering
- Better public-private cooperation
- Better education
- Privacy-aware crypto
- Intelligent incentives
- and lots more ...

Lessons (To Be) Learned 4

- Attackers have many advantages over defenders. However, too often systems collapse on their own without provocation.
- Don't overendow technology. Every would-be technological solution has some risks.
- Let's not wait for disasters.

Effective Forcing Functions?

- Market forces are inadequate.
- Open systems, interfaces, sources, and supporting incentives?
- Regulation, liability?
- Insurance and tax incentives?
- Better awareness of the risks of untrustworthiness; disasters?
- Maybe some of all of the above?
- But there are no easy answers.
- What can YOU do?

A Question To Ponder

Based on your own experience,
does this talk seem

- Heretical?
- Evolutionary?
- Revolutionary?
- Empirical?
- Timely?
- Impossible in the real world?
- Common-sense?
- Absolutely essential?
- Largely old-hat (1960s-1970s)?

Conclusions 1

- We need long-term total-system life-cycle approaches, far-sighted optimization, and much more.
- Above all, we need far-sighted research, development, commitment to proactive scientifically sound approaches, and corresponding education. We should not trust our lives to untrustworthy systems!

Conclusions 2

- Specific examples are perhaps less important than the fact that the the same types of problems keep recurring. Who's asleep at the wheel? Blame is distributed.
- Many common vulnerabilities can be relatively easily avoided with more principled approaches.

Conclusions 3

- Computer development is mostly an incremental process, driven by marketplace forces. But security research and assurance are slow to be adopted, despite vital needs.
- Incentives are needed to make better use of past lessons.

Conclusions 4

- Better trustworthiness is urgently, needed, and should be approached holistically, with composable architectures and principled system developments.
- Development and operation of trustworthy critical systems require massive cultural changes.

A Few Relevant References

- U.S. Government Accountability Office reports on DHS, US-VISIT, EEVS, etc., <http://www.gao.gov>
- National Academies Press, National Research Council, CSTB, www.nap.edu
 - ★ Toward a Safer and More Secure Cyberspace, 2007
 - ★ Trust in Cyberspace, 1998
 - ★ Computers at Risk: Safe Computing in the Information Age, 1990

References on Principles

- J.H. Saltzer and M.D. Schroeder, The Protection of Information in Computer Systems, *Proc. IEEE* 63, 9, 1278-1308, September 1975
<http://www.multicians.org>
- PGN, Role of Motherhood in the Pop Art of System Programming, ACM Symposium on Operating System Principles, October 1969
multicians.org/pgn-motherhood.html

A Few Recent PGN References

- Reflections on System Trustworthiness, *Advances in Computing* volume 70, 2007.
- Holistic Systems, *ACM SIGSOFT Softw.Eng.Notes*, Nov. 2006.
<http://www.csl.sri.com/neumann/holistic.pdf>
- Principled Assuredly Trustworthy Composable Architectures, 2004.
<http://www.csl.sri.com/neumann/chats4.html>, .pdf, .ps

Old PSOS/HDM References

• Neumann, Boyer, Feiertag, Levitt, Robinson, A Provably Secure Operating System: The System, Its Applications, and Proofs, SRI 1980.

<http://www.csl.sri.com/neumann/psos/psos80.ps>
[psos03.pdf](#)

• L. Robinson, K.N. Levitt, Proof Techniques for Hierarchically Structured Programs, *CACM*, Apr 1977.

Two Privacy References

- S.M. Bellovin, M.A. Blaze, W. Diffie, S. Landau, PGN, J. Rexford, Risking Communications Security: Potential Hazards of the “Protect America Act”, *IEEE Security and Privacy*, 6, 1, Jan-Feb 2008, pp. 18–27.

<http://crypto.com/paa.pdf>

- PGN, Security and Privacy in the Employment Eligibility Verification System (EEVS), House Subcomm. on Social Security, 7 Jun 2007.

csl.sri.com/neumann/house07.pdf

A Few More PGN References

- Computer-Related Risks, Addison-Wesley, 1995
- ACM Risks Forum, www.risks.org
- <http://www.csl.sri.com/neumann>